

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Lynchburg (Charlottesville) Division

In re: SUE MIFKA STOTTS, Debtor.

Case No. 16-61788 Chapter 13

CHARLES KABBASH, Plaintiff

v.

SUE MIFKA STOTTS, et al Defendants

Adversary No. 17-06002

ANSWER OF SUE MIFKA STOTTS

Comes now the defendant, Sue Stotts, and as and for her answer states as follows:

1-3.Admitted

4. Denied

5 Admitted

6 Admitted

7-12 Admitted

13 Admit that the appraisal exists, but denies that it represents the fair market value of the property.

14 Admitted

15 Denied

16 Denied

17 Admitted

18 Denied

19 Denied

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

1. Counts II and III fail to state a claim for which relief can be granted.
2. The court should abstain from hearing Counts II and III under 28 USC sec 1334(c)(1)

/s/Steven Shareff